

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,774	01/18/2001	Joseph M. Cannon	CANNON 115-104	5953
75	90 11/18/2004		EXAM	IINER
Farkas & Man	elli, PLLC		TRAN, 7	TUAN A
7th Floor 2000 M Street, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D			2682	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(9)
Advisory Action	09/761,774	CANNON ET AL.	-
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A Tran	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	,
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation.A proper reply to ich places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o		on final main ation, which ever in late	er In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MP 136(a) and the appropriate extense e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as seen	EP sion fee fee under set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ame	endment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem			
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A.	
	•	VIVIAN CHIN	
	SUPERI	TOO DATENT EXAMINER	
		MOLE OF CHATER 2600	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/761,774

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation "comparing a determined link quality through said piconet front end and a minimum link quality threshold" has never been claimed before; therefore it is considered new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.